# Determinants of contraceptive use among female undergraduates in Edo State Nigeria

<sup>1</sup>Oseni T. I. A., <sup>2</sup>Momoh M. O., <sup>1</sup>Affusim C. C., <sup>1</sup>Eromon P. E.,

<sup>1</sup>Department of Family Medicine, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria; <sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria

# **Corresponding Author:**

Oseni TIA, Department of Family Medicine, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria. E-Mail:

tijanioseni@aauekpoma.edu.ng; tijanioseni@yahoo.com

#### **Abstract**

**Background:** Use of modern contraceptive is still low among young women in Nigeria despite its potential to significantly reduce maternal morbidity and mortality. This study determines the prevalence and determinants of contraceptive use among female undergraduates in Edo State in order to implement measures that will boost its use. **Methodology:** A cross-sectional study of 360 female undergraduates attending Irrua Specialist Teaching Hospital who met the eligibility criteria was conducted through interviewer administered questionnaire. The results were analysed using epi info 3.5.4 statistical software and significance level was set at p < 0.05.

**Results:** Prevalence of contraceptive use was 28% despite 100% knowledge. Reasons for non-usage included fear of future infertility, refusal by male partner, fear of safety profile of the methods and lack of interest. Contraceptive use was higher among older respondents (p = 0.02), single (p = 0.04) with high socioeconomic status (p < 0.001).

**Conclusion:** Contraceptive use is low among female undergraduates despite adequate knowledge due to fear of adverse effects and non-cooperation by male partner. There is need for greater advocacy and education on safety profile of modern contraception as well as educating men on the need for their cooperation as this will increase usage. **Key words:** contraceptive use, undergraduates, determinants, prevalence

## Introduction

Nigeria has one of the highest maternal morbidity and mortality worldwide accounting for 14% of the global burden of maternal mortality. Adolescents and young adults constitute a significant proportion of the world's population. Over one-quarter of the world's population is between the ages of 10 and 24; close to 90% of the world's young

people live in developing countries<sup>3</sup>. The periods of adolescence and youths are the most productive and energetic times, characterized by risky behaviours including exploratory sexual practices. Owing to their population base, their sexual and reproductive health behaviours critically affect the global wellbeing and population growth pattern.<sup>4</sup>

According to the 2018 Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS), the mean age of coitarche for girls is 17.2 years (17.6 years in 2013), while the mean age for marriage for girls is 19.1 years (18.3 years in 2013)<sup>5</sup>. This means that as girls are becoming sexually active earlier, they are marrying later. Girls from rural settings initiate sex earlier (16years) than girls from urban setting also, girls (18.6years); from low socioeconomic class initiate sex earlier (15.5years) than girls from high socioeconomic class (19.7 years).<sup>5</sup> Available information from previous studies in Nigeria suggests increasing sexual activity among single adolescents of both sexes, and there is also progressive decrease in age at initiation of sex and poor contraceptive use.<sup>6</sup>

In addition to the above, adolescents and youths have a high level of premarital, often multiple, short-term sexual relationships, and consequently are predisposed to unwanted pregnancies and sexually transmitted infections. This leads to school dropout, unsafe abortion, pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), infertility, among others.<sup>7</sup> The other adverse health outcomes of risky sexual behaviour were found to be greater in females than in males; for example, Nigerian National Agency for Control of HIV/AIDS (NACA) reported a prevalence of 4.1% in people

between 15 and 24 years, with adolescent girls three times more vulnerable than boys of the same age<sup>8</sup>. Contraceptive use, particularly barrier contraceptive, has been shown to significantly reduce the above adverse health outcomes and improve maternal health indices.<sup>2,7,9</sup>

Contraception is the deliberate prevention of conception impregnation or using contraceptives. 10 This form of prevention is often employed for economic, demographic and/or medical purposes so as to reduce maternal mortality, teenage pregnancy, over population and sexually transmitted infections prevalence.<sup>11</sup> It is one of the most cost-effective ways to prevent maternal, infant, and child mortality.<sup>7</sup> It can reduce maternal mortality by reducing the number of unintended pregnancies, the number of abortions, and the proportion of births at high risk.<sup>7</sup> It can help slow the spread of HIV, promote gender equality, reduce poverty, accelerate socioeconomic development, and protect the environment.8 Contraceptive use is however, poor among sexually active adolescents and young ladies<sup>9,12</sup> despite adequate knowledge of modern contraceptive methods.<sup>5</sup> The NDHS in 2018 reported a contraceptive prevalence of 28% among unmarried women, and 12% among married women respectively<sup>5</sup>. Even when used by

adolescents and young ladies, it is not consistently and properly used. Education, socioeconomic and marital statuses significantly affect usage of contraceptives. <sup>13,14</sup> Ignorance of the various contraceptive methods, their effectiveness, safety profile, religious conflicts are some of the reasons why young women refuse contraceptives. <sup>12,14</sup>

Adolescent females form a sixth of the women in the reproductive age. 13 Half of the pregnancies in adolescents in developing countries are unintended.<sup>13</sup> Studies have shown that pregnancy before 20 years of age have strong correlation with poor maternal and neonatal health outcomes, including higher rates of pregnancy-related complications<sup>14</sup>. Approximately, 20% of pregnancy-related morbidity and mortality, along with 32% of maternal deaths, could be prevented using effective contraception.<sup>2,13</sup> To assess the current situation in our environment, periodic survey of the sexual behaviour and contraceptive practices of young female students is required. This would allow for appraisal of policy formulations that promote sexual health. A study of the sexual practices and contraceptive use among female undergraduates would reflect happenings among adults, young since most undergraduates are usually in their late teens

and early twenties – a period characterised by sexual adventure and risky behaviour. The aim of this study, therefore, was to determine the prevalence and determinants of modern contraceptive use among undergraduates in Edo State.

## Methodology

This study was conducted at Irrua Specialist Teaching Hospital (ISTH), Irrua, Edo State. The hospital receives students from the three major tertiary institutions in Edo Central and Edo North Senatorial Districts – Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, and College of Education, Igueben, both in Edo Central Senatorial District; and, Auchi Polytechnic, Auchi in Edo North Senatorial District.

# Study design

The study was a descriptive cross-sectional study.

## Study population

This comprised sexually active female undergraduates of reproductive age (15 – 49 years), who were present at Irrua Specialist Teaching Hospital, Irrua, during the study period.

## Inclusion criteria

- Female undergraduates aged 15 to 49 years,
- Those who are sexually active,
- Those who were present at ISTH

• Those who consented to participate in the study.

## Exclusion criteria

• Students who were too ill to participate in the study.

## Selection of subjects

Female undergraduates presenting to the General Out-Patient Department, Accidents and Emergency Unit, and gynaecological unit of ISTH, who met the inclusion criteria were selected for the study.

The sample size was determined using the formula for single proportion<sup>1</sup>:

 $N = Z^2pq/d^2$  where

N =estimated sample size

Z =standard normal deviate corresponding to a confidence interval of 95% (1.96)

p = prevalence of contraceptive use in Nigeria (Nigeria Demography and Health Survey reported a Contraceptive prevalence of 28% among unmarried women in Nigeria, majority of female undergraduates being unmarried)<sup>2</sup> q = the proportion of those without PID in the population = 1 - p = 1 - 0.28 = 0.72

d = allowable relative error (5%)

 $N = (1.96)^2 \times 0.28 \times 0.72/(0.05)^2 = 309$ 

Response rate: A response rate of 90% was anticipated and so the sample size to be selected ( $n_s$ ) was calculated using the formula

 $n_s = n/0.9$  where

 $n_s$  = sample size to be selected,

n = calculated sample size and

0.9 = anticipated response rate of 90%

 $n_s = n/0.9 = 309/0.9 = 343.$ 

The total sample size used was 360

# Sampling technique

Consecutive sampling was employed in the study. Female undergraduates who met the inclusion criteria were recruited consecutively and interviewed until the required sample size of three hundred and sixty (360) was achieved. An average of eight students were seen weekly. These patients were seen in the Family Medicine clinic, gynaecological clinics and Accident and Emergency units of Irrua Specialist Teaching Hospital, Irrua.

## Study instruments

The instrument for data collection was a semistructured, interviewer administered The questionnaire questionnaire. pretested in the staff/NHIS clinic of the hospital. Data analysis was done with the aid of Epi info statistical software version 3.5.4, designed by the American Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, USA for epidemiological studies. Results were presented using tables, charts, frequency distribution and percentages. Chi-square test was used to test for association between the

occurrence of PID and associated risk factors, while  $p \leq 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

## Study duration

The study lasted for six months.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was obtained from the

Ethics and Research Committee of Irrua

Specialist Teaching Hospital, Irrua via ISTH/HREC/2016/MAY/031, while written

informed consent was obtained from study participants after details of the study. The aim and objectives were explained to them.

#### Results

Respondents were female undergraduates aged 16–35 years with a mean age of 24(±3.6). Majority of respondents were aged 20 to 24 years (54.4%), mostly unmarried (93.9%), Christians (78.6%) and were of low socioeconomic class (53.3%).

Most respondents attained coitarche between the ages of 16 to 19 years (72.5%) with the mean age of sexual debut being 18±2.2 years. Only few of the respondents had single sex partner (5.3%). They all had knowledge of contraception, and the contraceptive use prevalence was 28.9%. The two main reasons for the refusal of contraceptive use was fear of future infertility (47.7%) and partner refusal (28.1%). Of those who used contraceptives, 66.3% used condom as the

preferred method of contraception while Intra Uterine Contraceptive Device (IUCD) was the least preferred method of contraception (2.9%).

Table 1: Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Respondents (N=360)

Sociodemographic Characteristics	Frequency (%)		
Age (Years)			
15 – 19	37 (10.3)		
20 - 24	196 (54.4)		
25 - 29	98 (27.2)		
30 and above	29 (8.1)		
Marital Status			
Single	338 (93.9)		
Ever Married	22 (6.1)		
Religion			
Christianity	283 (78.6)		
Islam	77 (21.4)		
Socioeconomic status			
Low	192 (53.3)		
Middle	92 (25.6)		
High	76 (21.1)		

There was a significant increase in contraceptive use with increase in age (p = 0.02). Contraceptive use was significantly higher in singles compared to married respondents (p = 0.04). There was no significant difference in contraceptive use among adherents of Islam or Christianity (p = 0.27). Contraceptive use rate increased with rise in socioeconomic class. The rate of use between those with high socioeconomic

class compared to those from lower class was statistically significant (p < 0.001). Age at sexual debut did not significantly affect contraceptive usage (p = 0.07).

Table 2: Sexual History of Respondents (N=360)

Age at Coitarche (Years)  10 – 14	<b>Sexual History</b>	Frequency (%)			
15 – 19 261 (72.5) 20 – 24 89 (24.7) 25 and above 7 (2.0)  No of Sex Partners ever had (N=360) 1 19 (5.3) 2 249 (69.2) 3 54 (15.0) 4 and above 38 (10.5)  Knowledge of Contraception (N=360)  Yes 360 (100.0) No 0 (0.0)  Contraceptive use (N=360) Yes 104 (28.9) No 256 (71.1)  Reasons for not using (N=256)  Fear of future 122 (47.7) infertility  Partner's refusal 72 (28.1) Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2) profile  Don't like it 23 (9.0)  Method of Contraceptive (N=104) Condom 69 (66.3) Natural 22 (21.2) Pills 5 (4.8) Injectables 5 (4.8)	Age at Coitarche (Y	ears)			
20 – 24 89 (24.7) 25 and above 7 (2.0)  No of Sex Partners ever had (N=360)  1 19 (5.3) 2 249 (69.2) 3 54 (15.0) 4 and above 38 (10.5)  Knowledge of Contraception (N=360)  Yes 360 (100.0) No 0 (0.0)  Contraceptive use (N=360) Yes 104 (28.9) No 256 (71.1)  Reasons for not using (N=256)  Fear of future 122 (47.7) infertility  Partner's refusal 72 (28.1) Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2) profile  Don't like it 23 (9.0)  Method of Contraceptive (N=104) Condom 69 (66.3) Natural 22 (21.2) Pills 5 (4.8) Injectables 5 (4.8)	10 - 14	` /			
25 and above 7 (2.0)  No of Sex Partners ever had (N=360)  1	15 - 19				
No of Sex Partners ever had (N=360)  1	20 - 24	89 (24.7)			
1 19 (5.3) 2 249 (69.2) 3 54 (15.0) 4 and above 38 (10.5) Knowledge of Contraception (N=360)  Yes 360 (100.0) No 0 (0.0)  Contraceptive use (N=360) Yes 104 (28.9) No 256 (71.1) Reasons for not using (N=256)  Fear of future 122 (47.7) infertility  Partner's refusal 72 (28.1) Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2) profile  Don't like it 23 (9.0) Method of Contraceptive (N=104) Condom 69 (66.3) Natural 22 (21.2) Pills 5 (4.8) Injectables 5 (4.8)		7 (2.0)			
2 249 (69.2) 3 54 (15.0) 4 and above 38 (10.5) Knowledge of Contraception (N=360)  Yes 360 (100.0) No 0 (0.0)  Contraceptive use (N=360) Yes 104 (28.9) No 256 (71.1) Reasons for not using (N=256)  Fear of future 122 (47.7) infertility  Partner's refusal 72 (28.1) Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2) profile  Don't like it 23 (9.0) Method of Contraceptive (N=104) Condom 69 (66.3) Natural 22 (21.2) Pills 5 (4.8) Injectables 5 (4.8)	No of Sex Partners	•			
3 54 (15.0) 4 and above 38 (10.5) Knowledge of Contraception (N=360)  Yes 360 (100.0) No 0 (0.0)  Contraceptive use (N=360) Yes 104 (28.9) No 256 (71.1) Reasons for not using (N=256)  Fear of future 122 (47.7) infertility  Partner's refusal 72 (28.1) Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2) profile  Don't like it 23 (9.0) Method of Contraceptive (N=104) Condom 69 (66.3) Natural 22 (21.2) Pills 5 (4.8) Injectables 5 (4.8)		` /			
4 and above 38 (10.5)  Knowledge of Contraception (N=360)  Yes 360 (100.0)  No 0 (0.0)  Contraceptive use (N=360)  Yes 104 (28.9)  No 256 (71.1)  Reasons for not using (N=256)  Fear of future 122 (47.7)  infertility  Partner's refusal 72 (28.1)  Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2)  profile  Don't like it 23 (9.0)  Method of Contraceptive (N=104)  Condom 69 (66.3)  Natural 22 (21.2)  Pills 5 (4.8)  Injectables 5 (4.8)		` /			
Knowledge of Contraception (N=360)         Yes       360 (100.0)         No       0 (0.0)         Contraceptive use (N=360)       Yes         Yes       104 (28.9)         No       256 (71.1)         Reasons for not using (N=256)         Fear of future 122 (47.7)         infertility         Partner's refusal 72 (28.1)         Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2)         profile         Don't like it 23 (9.0)         Method of Contraceptive (N=104)         Condom 69 (66.3)         Natural 22 (21.2)         Pills 5 (4.8)         Injectables 5 (4.8)		` '			
Yes 360 (100.0)  No 0 (0.0)  Contraceptive use (N=360) Yes 104 (28.9) No 256 (71.1)  Reasons for not using (N=256)  Fear of future 122 (47.7) infertility  Partner's refusal 72 (28.1) Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2) profile  Don't like it 23 (9.0)  Method of Contraceptive (N=104) Condom 69 (66.3) Natural 22 (21.2) Pills 5 (4.8) Injectables 5 (4.8)		, ,			
No       0 (0.0)         Contraceptive use (N=360)         Yes       104 (28.9)         No       256 (71.1)         Reasons for not using (N=256)         Fear of future 122 (47.7) infertility         Partner's refusal 72 (28.1)         Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2) profile         Don't like it 23 (9.0)         Method of Contraceptive (N=104)         Condom 69 (66.3)         Natural 22 (21.2)         Pills 5 (4.8)         Injectables 5 (4.8)	<b>Knowledge of Contraception (N=360)</b>				
No       0 (0.0)         Contraceptive use (N=360)         Yes       104 (28.9)         No       256 (71.1)         Reasons for not using (N=256)         Fear of future 122 (47.7)         infertility         Partner's refusal 72 (28.1)         Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2)         profile         Don't like it 23 (9.0)         Method of Contraceptive (N=104)         Condom 69 (66.3)         Natural 22 (21.2)         Pills 5 (4.8)         Injectables 5 (4.8)	Yes	360 (100.0)			
Yes 104 (28.9) No 256 (71.1)  Reasons for not using (N=256)  Fear of future 122 (47.7) infertility  Partner's refusal 72 (28.1) Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2) profile  Don't like it 23 (9.0)  Method of Contraceptive (N=104) Condom 69 (66.3) Natural 22 (21.2) Pills 5 (4.8) Injectables 5 (4.8)	No				
No       256 (71.1)         Reasons for not using (N=256)         Fear of future 122 (47.7)         infertility         Partner's refusal 72 (28.1)         Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2)         profile         Don't like it 23 (9.0)         Method of Contraceptive (N=104)         Condom 69 (66.3)         Natural 22 (21.2)         Pills 5 (4.8)         Injectables 5 (4.8)	Contraceptive use (1	N=360)			
Reasons for not using (N=256)         Fear of future 122 (47.7)         infertility         Partner's refusal 72 (28.1)         Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2)         profile         Don't like it 23 (9.0)         Method of Contraceptive (N=104)         Condom 69 (66.3)         Natural 22 (21.2)         Pills 5 (4.8)         Injectables 5 (4.8)	Yes	104 (28.9)			
Fear of future 122 (47.7) infertility  Partner's refusal 72 (28.1)  Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2) profile  Don't like it 23 (9.0)  Method of Contraceptive (N=104)  Condom 69 (66.3)  Natural 22 (21.2)  Pills 5 (4.8)  Injectables 5 (4.8)	No	256 (71.1)			
infertility  Partner's refusal 72 (28.1)  Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2)  profile  Don't like it 23 (9.0)  Method of Contraceptive (N=104)  Condom 69 (66.3)  Natural 22 (21.2)  Pills 5 (4.8)  Injectables 5 (4.8)	Reasons for not using (N=256)				
Partner's refusal 72 (28.1)  Not sure of Safety 39 (15.2)  profile  Don't like it 23 (9.0)  Method of Contraceptive (N=104)  Condom 69 (66.3)  Natural 22 (21.2)  Pills 5 (4.8)  Injectables 5 (4.8)		122 (47.7)			
Not sure of Safety profile       39 (15.2)         Don't like it profile       23 (9.0)         Method of Contraceptive (N=104)         Condom profile       69 (66.3)         Natural profile       22 (21.2)         Pills profile       5 (4.8)         Injectables       5 (4.8)	intertility				
Not sure of Safety profile       39 (15.2)         Don't like it       23 (9.0)         Method of Contraceptive (N=104)         Condom       69 (66.3)         Natural       22 (21.2)         Pills       5 (4.8)         Injectables       5 (4.8)	Partner's refusal	72 (28.1)			
profile         Don't like it       23 (9.0)         Method of Contraceptive (N=104)         Condom       69 (66.3)         Natural       22 (21.2)         Pills       5 (4.8)         Injectables       5 (4.8)					
Method of Contraceptive (N=104)         Condom       69 (66.3)         Natural       22 (21.2)         Pills       5 (4.8)         Injectables       5 (4.8)	profile				
Condom       69 (66.3)         Natural       22 (21.2)         Pills       5 (4.8)         Injectables       5 (4.8)	Don't like it	23 (9.0)			
Natural       22 (21.2)         Pills       5 (4.8)         Injectables       5 (4.8)	Method of Contrace	eptive (N=104)			
Pills 5 (4.8) Injectables 5 (4.8)	Condom	69 (66.3)			
Injectables 5 (4.8)	Natural	22 (21.2)			
· · ·	Pills				
IUCD 3 (2.9)	Injectables	5 (4.8)			
	IUCD	3 (2.9)			

#### **Discussion**

The study found a contraceptive use prevalence of 28.9% among female undergraduates. This is similar to some previous studies' findings across Nigeria (respectively 26%, 1 26.8%, 9 and 28% 5 in north-east, south-south, and the 2018 National Demographic Health Survey). This might be related to the single status of most of the participants in this study, as reflected in previous studies. This rate appeared low considering that all the respondents were literate on contraception use. However, fear of side effects, future infertility, male partner preference, developing cancer as a result of use, have been provided by previous

studies<sup>1,9,13-15</sup> and in this study The study showed that respondents from low

socioeconomic background (20.3%) were significantly less likely to use contraceptives

and compared to those from middle (33.7%), and high (44.7%) socioeconomic backgrounds.

This pattern of more use of contraceptive among the those with high socioeconomic class compared to those from low, have been echoed too from previous studies.<sup>7,9</sup> In other words, poverty might be a major barrier to contraceptive use. Such observation might

**Table 3: Determinants of Contraceptive Use (N=360)** 

Sociodemographic	Contraceptive Use			<b>Test Statistics</b>
Characteristics	Yes N=104(%)	No (N=256)	Total (N=360)	(χ- 1est)
Age (Years)	•			
15 - 19	6(16.2)	31(83.8)	37(100)	$\chi^2 = 9.64$
20 - 24	67(34.2)	129(65.8)	196(100)	P = 0.02
25 - 29	35(35.7)	63(64.3)	98(100)	
30 and above	8(36.4)	21(63.6)	29(100)	
<b>Marital Status</b>				
Single	102(30.2)	236(69.8)	338(100)	$\chi^2 = 4.46$
Married	2(9.1)	20(90.9)	22(100)	P = 0.04
Religion				
Christianity	78(27.6)	205(72.4)	283(100)	$\chi^2 = 1.23$
Islam	26(33.8)	51(66.2)	77(100)	P = 0.27
Socioeconomic status	8			
Low	39(20.3)	153(79.7)	192(100)	$\chi^2 = 18.13$
Middle	31(33.7)	61(66.3)	92(100)	df = 2
High	34(44.7)	42(55.3)	76(100)	P < 0.001
Age at Coitarche				
10 - 14	3(100)	-(0)	3(100)	$\chi^2 = 6.91$
15 - 19	74(28.4)	187(71.6)	261(100)	df = 3
20 - 24	24(27.0)	65(73)	89(100)	P = 0.07
25 and above	3(42.9)	4(57.1)	7(100)	

also lead to the extrapolation of some female students engaging in sexual intercourse for monetary gain. <sup>15</sup> This puts them in a condition where they are less likely to negotiate contraceptive use before sex. <sup>13,15</sup>

The mean age of sexual debut found in our study was 18±2.2 years. This was like findings in some previous Nigerian studies<sup>5,15</sup> Also, this finding is comparable with the findings in some other places such as South Africa and Ireland where sexual debut was at 17.3 years and 17.6 years respectively. <sup>16,17</sup> This observation might be related to educational and cultural factors associated with delayed

age of marriage as most of the participants in this study are not married.

More than 94% of the participants had multiple sexual partners and similar pattern is seen in previous studies.<sup>5,13-15</sup> This might be related to most of the participants being single and apparently influenced by media and drugs of abuse like alcohol.<sup>15</sup>

Age was found to significantly affect contraceptive use in our study. The older the respondent, the higher the likelihood of them using a modern contraceptive method. This was also reflected in a previous Nigerian study. 15

Marital status was also identified as a deterrent contraceptive use among the study married to participants (i.e., unmarried contraceptive use rate was respectively 9.1% vs 30.1%). This is similar to the 2018 NDHS outcome of 12% vs 28% respectively for married and unmarried Nigerians. Such observation might be related to the expectation of married participants yearning to have children. And as to the common knowledge, contraception use for the married is often for child spacing and when they have completed their family size.

The commonest method of contraception used by the respondents was male condom (63.3%). This is comparable to the finding reported in a previous study. The preferred use of male condom found in our study is perhaps due to its availability, cheap price knowledge. This study find increase in age, being single, belong to the high socioeconomic class and ease of access as significant variables influencing use of contraceptive methods. It is hoped that future studies will explore further why these variables stand out.

## Conclusion

Contraceptive use is low among female undergraduates in Edo State despite adequate knowledge. This study finds increase in age, being single, belonging to high socioeconomic class and ease of access as significant variable

influencing use of contraceptive methods. It is hoped that future studies will explore further why these variables stand out and what roles religion and spirituality play in these observations.

#### **Funding**

The research and publication were entirely funded by the authors. No funding from any funding agency was received.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

OSENI, Tijani Idris Ahmad – Conceived the idea, conducted the study and wrote the manuscript.

MOMOH, Mojeed O. – Conceived the idea and wrote the manuscript.

AFFUSIM, Christopher Chidozie – Conducted the study and wrote the manuscript.

EROMON, Pauline Etuajie – Conducted the study and wrote the manuscript.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank the management of Irrua Specialist Teaching Hospital, Irrua, Edo State where the study was conducted. Specifically, we thank Dr Bolanle Adewuyi, the Head of Department of Family Medicine, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, for providing technical support; Mrs Maryam T. Oseni for her secretarial assistance; and Dr Michael Odewale for reading through the manuscript.

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

HIV/AIDS Human Immunodeficiency

Virus/Acquired ImmunoDeficiency Syndrome

ISTH Irrua Specialist Teaching Hospital IUCD Intra Uterine Contraceptive Device

NACA National Agency for Control of HIV/AIDS

NDHS Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey

PID Pelvic Inflammatory Disease

STI Sexually Transmitted Infections

#### References

- 1. Kana MA, Tagurum YO, Hassan ZI, Afolanranmi TO, Ogbeyi GO, Difa JA, Amede P, Chirdan OO. Prevalence and determinants of contraceptive use in rural Northeastern Nigeria: Results of a mixed qualitative and quantitative assessment. Ann Nigerian Med 2016; 10: 3-10.
  - 2. Ackerson K, Zielinski R. Factors influencing use of family planning in women living in crisis affected areas of Sub-Saharan Africa: A review of the literature Midwifery 2017: 54: 35–60

- 3. Lancet. Adolescence: A foundation for future health. Population Reference Bureau (PRB); 2014. Available from <a href="https://www.prb.org/wpcontent/uploads/2014/07/lancet-youth-1.pdf">https://www.prb.org/wpcontent/uploads/2014/07/lancet-youth-1.pdf</a>. [Accessed 11th April, 2022].
- 4. Rani M, Lule E. Exploring the socioeconomic dimension of adolescent reproductive health: a multi-country analysis. Int Fam Plann Perspect. 2004; 30(3): 110-7.
- 5. National Population Commission (NPC). Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2018. Abuja, Nigeria, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NPC and ICF. 2019. Available from

https://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR359/FR359 .pdf. [Accessed 11<sup>th</sup> April, 2022].

- 6. Orji EO, Esimai OA. Introduction of sex education into Nigerian schools: The parents; teachers and students perspective. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2003; 23(2): 185-8
- 7. Federal Government of Nigeria. Nigeria family planning blueprint (scale-up plan). 2014.
- 8. Fact sheet 2011: Update on the HIV/AIDS Epidemic and Response in Nigeria. Updated 17th August 2011. Available from https://naca.gov.ng/content/view/423/lang,en / [Accessed on 13th November, 2013].
- 9. Ogboghodo EO, Adam VY, Wagbatsoma VA.
  Prevalence and Determinants of
  Contraceptive Use among Women of Child- Bearing
  Age in a Rural Community in Southern Nigeria.
  Journal of Community Medicine and Primary Health
  Care. 2017; 29(2): 97-107
- 10. New Encyclopedia Botannica; 15: 113-5.
- 11. Onah AS. Contraception and Hormonal Replacement Therapy. In: Aguwa C.N, ed. Therapeutic Basis of Clinical Pharmacy in the Tropics. 3rd edition; Enugu: Snaap Press Ltd., 2004. 50-6.
- 12. Valekar SS, Pandve HT, Chawla PS, Mane AB. Assessment of Unmet Needs of Family Planning and Reasons for Non-Use of Contraceptive Methods among Women in Reproductive Age in Rural Community. J Community Med Health Educ 2017; 7(4): 1-5.
- 13. Munakampe MN, Zulu JM, Michelo C. Contraception and abortion knowledge, attitudes and practices among adolescents from low and middle-income countries: a systematic review. BMC Health Services Research 2018; 18(1): 1-13
- 14. Sarkar A, Chandra-Mouli V, Jain K, Behera J, Mishra SK, Mehra S. Community based reproductive health interventions for young married couples in resource-constrained settings: a systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2015;15(1):1-9.
- 15. Akinsoji AA, Olufunmilola AO, Idowu AA, Pius AI. Sexual and Contraceptive Practices among Female Undergraduates in a

- Nigerian Tertiary Institution. Ethiop J Health Sci. 2015; 25(3): 209-16.
- 16. Hoque E, Hoque N. Knowledge of and attitude towards cervical cancer among female university students in South Africa. South Afr J Epidemiol Infect. 2009; 24(1): 21–24.
- 17. O'Connell E, Brennan W, Cormican M, Glacken M, O'Donovan D, Vellinga A, Cahill N, Lysaght F, O'Donnell J. Chlamydia trachomatis infection and sexual behaviour among female students attending higher education in the Republic of Ireland. BMC Pub Health. 2009;9(1):1-7.

Conflict of interest: Nil

